

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/664,387		KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALBERT H. CUTLER		2622	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ALBERT H. CUTLER.

(2) Tiberiu Weisz (Reg. 29,876).

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** N/A

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:30 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Cutler proposed amending claim 25 to depend only from claim 1, and creating a new claim 36 containing the subject matter of claim 25, yet depending from claim 10, in order for the case to be allowed. Mr. Weisz agreed to the proposed amendment.